

REMARKS

Specification

Applicants thank the Examiner for pointing out the guidelines regarding the preferred layout of a specification. As these guidelines are merely suggested, applicants respectfully decline amending the specification.

Claim Objections and Rejections Under 35 USC § 112

These objections and rejections to the form of the claims are moot in view of the amendment of the claims. As suggested by the Examiner, the claims are rewritten to recite US style claim language instead of the translated version from another language.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 102 and 103

These rejections are moot in view of the amendment of the claims to the allowable matter.

Claim 1 and also claim 12 are amended to recite the indicated allowable feature of claims 2, 3, and 10, and in the alternate also to recite the indicated allowable feature of claim 6. See the bottom of page 8 and the top of page 9 of the Office Action.

New independent claim 22 also recites the same distinguishing features as discussed above, and is directed to a method of storage of gases. For support, please see page 3, 2nd paragraph from the bottom of the page, page 15, last paragraph on said page, and page 2, 4th paragraph.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908
Attorney for Applicants
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza I
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Direct Dial: 703-812-5331
Facsimile: 703-243-6410
Filed: December 9, 2009